THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Troy Shaw, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2012-208387

Appeal From Orangeburg County Kristi Lea Harrington, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2013-UP-377 Submitted September 1, 2013 – Filed October 9, 2013

AFFIRMED

Deputy Chief Appellate Defender Wanda H. Carter, of Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant Attorney General Jennifer Ellis Roberts, both of Columbia, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v. Sheppard*, 391 S.C. 415, 420-21, 706 S.E.2d 16, 19 (2011) ("Our law is clear that a party must make a contemporaneous objection that is ruled

upon by the trial [court] to preserve an issue for appellate review."); *State v. Johnson*, 363 S.C. 53, 58, 609 S.E.2d 520, 523 (2005) ("The objection should be addressed to the trial court in a sufficiently specific manner that brings attention to the exact error."); *State v. Dunbar*, 356 S.C. 138, 142, 587 S.E.2d 691, 694 (2003) ("A party may not argue one ground at trial and an alternate ground on appeal.").

AFFIRMED.¹

SHORT, WILLIAMS, and THOMAS, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.