

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Christopher Ryan Holliday, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2012-212010

---

Appeal From York County  
Lee S. Alford, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2014-UP-196  
Submitted May 1, 2014 – Filed May 21, 2014

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Deputy Chief Appellate Defender Wanda H. Carter, of  
Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant  
Attorney General Christina J. Catoe, both of Columbia,  
for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following  
authorities: *State v. Goodwin*, 384 S.C. 588, 603, 683 S.E.2d 500, 508 (Ct. App.  
2009) ("In order for an issue to be preserved for appellate review, it must have

been raised to and ruled upon by the trial court."); *State v. Williams*, 405 S.C. 263, 280, 747 S.E.2d 194, 203 (Ct. App. 2013) ("To preserve an issue regarding the admissibility of evidence, a contemporaneous objection must be made."); *id.* (explaining the failure to object when evidence is offered constitutes a waiver of the right to have the issue considered on appeal); *State v. Wilson*, 389 S.C. 579, 583, 698 S.E.2d 862, 864 (Ct. App. 2010) ("[A]n issue will not be preserved for review where the trial court *sustains* a party's objection to improper testimony and the party does not subsequently move to strike the testimony or for a mistrial.").

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

**WILLIAMS, KONDUROS, and LOCKEMY, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.