

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

James Allen Johnson, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2013-001314

---

Appeal From Greenville County  
G. Edward Welmaker, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2015-UP-378  
Heard June 9, 2015 – Filed July 29, 2015

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Appellate Defender David Alexander, of Columbia, for  
Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson, Assistant  
Attorney General Mary Williams Leddon, and Staff  
Attorney Susannah Rawl Cole, all of Columbia, and  
Solicitor William Walter Wilkins, III, of Greenville, for  
Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** James Johnson appeals his conviction for homicide by child abuse, arguing the trial court erred in admitting his incriminating statement made to officers at the law enforcement center because it was the result of a two-phase interrogation in violation of *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436 (1966), and *Missouri v. Seibert*, 542 U.S. 600 (2004). We affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v. Pagan*, 369 S.C. 201, 208, 631 S.E.2d 262, 265 (2006) ("The admission of evidence is within the discretion of the trial court and will not be reversed absent an abuse of discretion."); *id.* ("An abuse of discretion occurs when the conclusions of the trial court either lack evidentiary support or are controlled by an error of law."); *State v. Freiburger*, 366 S.C. 125, 134, 620 S.E.2d 737, 741 (2005) (holding an issue is not preserved for appeal where one ground is raised below and another ground is raised on appeal).

**AFFIRMED.**

**SHORT, LOCKEMY, and MCDONALD, JJ., concur.**