

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

David Glover, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2015-001144

Appeal From Aiken County
Knox McMahon, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2017-UP-025
Submitted October 1, 2016 – Filed January 11, 2017

AFFIRMED

Appellate Defender Laura Ruth Baer, of Columbia, for
Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant
Attorney General Susan Ranee Saunders, both of
Columbia; and Solicitor James Strom Thurmond, Jr., of
Aiken, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following
authorities: *State v. Brandt*, 393 S.C. 526, 550, 713 S.E.2d 591, 603 (2011) ("An
appellate court will not reverse the trial [court]'s decision regarding a jury charge

absent an abuse of discretion."); *Wilder v. State*, 388 S.C. 282, 285, 696 S.E.2d 587, 588 (2010) ("An abuse of discretion occurs when the trial court's ruling lacks evidentiary support or where it is controlled by an error of law."); *State v. Harrison*, 343 S.C. 165, 173, 539 S.E.2d 71, 75 (Ct. App. 2000) ("To warrant reversal, a trial [court]'s refusal to give a requested jury charge must be both erroneous and prejudicial."); *State v. Barksdale*, 311 S.C. 210, 216, 428 S.E.2d 498, 502 (Ct. App. 1993) ("When a jury requests an additional charge, it is sufficient for the court to charge *only* those matters necessary to answer the jury's request." (emphasis added)); *State v. Anderson*, 322 S.C. 89, 94, 470 S.E.2d 103, 106 (1996) (finding "the recharge was properly limited to answering the jury's question").

AFFIRMED.¹

LOCKEMY, C.J., and KONDUROS and MCDONALD, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.