

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Holly Earlene Ashby Mahon, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2016-002521

Appeal From Dorchester County
Maite Murphy, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2018-UP-108
Submitted February 1, 2018 – Filed March 14, 2018

APPEAL DISMISSED

Appellate Defender Lara Mary Caudy, of Columbia, and
Holly Earlene Ashby Mahon, pro se, for Appellant.

Matthew C. Buchanan, of the South Carolina Department
of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services, of Columbia,
for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Dismissed after consideration of Appellant's pro se brief and review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's motion to be relieved is granted.¹

APPEAL DISMISSED.

HUFF, GEATHERS, and MCDONALD, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.