## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

Kenneth Paul Rutledge and Chrissy Marie Rutledge, Respondents,

v.

Thomas Colt Golden and Holly Elizabeth Sisk, Defendants,

Of whom Holly Elizabeth Sisk is the Appellant.

In the interest of a minor under the age of eighteen.

Appellate Case No. 2023-000792

Appeal From Anderson County Karen F. Ballenger, Family Court Judge

\_\_\_\_

Unpublished Opinion No. 2023-UP-350 Submitted October 19, 2023 – Filed October 23, 2023

## **AFFIRMED**

Robert Mills Ariail, Jr., of Law Office of R. Mills Ariail, Jr., of Greenville, for Appellant.

Sarah Ganss Drawdy, of Byrholdt Drawdy, LLC, and Ashlea Morgan White, of White, Davis & White Law Firm, PA, both of Anderson, for Respondents.

## Samantha Paula Nelson, of Samantha P. Nelson Attorney at Law LLC, of Anderson, as the Guardian ad Litem.

\_\_\_\_

**PER CURIAM:** Holly Elizabeth Sisk appeals the family court's final order terminating her parental rights to her minor child and granting the child's adoption. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 63-7-2570 (Supp. 2022). Upon a thorough review of the record and the family court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to *Ex parte Cauthen*, 291 S.C. 465, 354 S.E.2d 381 (1987), we find no meritorious issues warrant briefing. Accordingly, we affirm the family court's ruling and relieve Sisk's counsel.

AFFIRMED.1

MCDONALD and VINSON, JJ., and BROMELL HOLMES, A.J., concur.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We decide this case without argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.