THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Supreme Court

Arthur R. Ladia, Petitioner,
V.
State of South Carolina, Respondent.
Appellate Case No. 2014-001426
Appeal From Lexington County D. Craig Brown, Plea Judge William P. Keesley, Post-Conviction Relief Judge
Memorandum Opinion No. 2015-MO-056 Submitted September 3, 2015 – Filed September 30, 2015
WRIT OF CERTIORARI ISSUED
Appellate Defender John Harrison Strom, of Columbia, for Petitioner.
Attorney General Alan Wilson and Assistant Attorney

PER CURIAM: Petitioner seeks a writ of certiorari from the denial of his application for post-conviction relief (PCR).

General John Walter Whitmire, of Columbia, for

Respondent.

The petition is denied on petitioner's Question II. Because there is sufficient evidence to support the PCR judge's finding that petitioner did not knowingly and intelligently waive his right to a direct appeal, we grant certiorari on petitioner's Question I, dispense with further briefing, and proceed with a belated appellate review pursuant to *Davis v. State*, 288 S.C. 290, 342 S.E.2d 60 (1986).

After review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), we have found no meritorious issues preserved for appellate review. However, due to the unique circumstances of this case, we issue a common law writ of certiorari to amend petitioner's sentence for second degree burglary to two years. *Boan v. State*, 388 S.C. 272, 695 S.E.2d 850 (2010) (a defendant's due process rights are violated when effect is given to an unambiguous sentencing sheet over an unambiguous plea colloquy).

WRIT OF CERTIORARI ISSUED.

TOAL, C.J., PLEICONES, BEATTY, KITTREDGE and HEARN, JJ., concur.