

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Fred Madden, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2011-199606

Appeal From Laurens County
Frank R. Addy, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2014-UP-032
Submitted November 1, 2013 – Filed January 22, 2014

AFFIRMED

Chief Appellate Defender Robert Michael Dudek, of
Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Senior
Assistant Attorney General Melody Jane Brown, both of
Columbia, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following
authorities: *State v. Brandt*, 393 S.C. 526, 549, 713 S.E.2d 591, 603 (2011)
(holding the trial court "is required to charge only the current and correct law of

South Carolina" (quoting *Sheppard v. State*, 357 S.C. 646, 665, 594 S.E.2d 462, 472 (2004)); *State v. Wharton*, 381 S.C. 209, 213, 672 S.E.2d 786, 788 (2009) ("A trial court's decision regarding jury charges will not be reversed where the charges, as a whole, properly charged the law to be applied."); *State v. Logan*, 405 S.C. 83, 93, 747 S.E.2d 444, 449 (2013) (rejecting the appellant's argument that the utilization of the *Edwards*¹ circumstantial evidence charge in *State v. Bostick*² and *State v. Odems*³ implied the *Edwards* charge was still good law, reasoning "*Bostick* and *Odems* analyzed the standard relied on by the trial court in assessing circumstantial evidence, and not the standard relied on by jurors").

AFFIRMED.⁴

SHORT, WILLIAMS, and THOMAS, JJ., concur.

¹ *State v. Edwards*, 298 S.C. 272, 275, 379 S.E.2d 888, 889 (1989).

² 392 S.C. 134, 708 S.E.2d 774 (2011).

³ 395 S.C. 582, 720 S.E.2d 48 (2011).

⁴ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.