THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,
v.
Christopher Russell, Appellant.
Appellate Case No. 2012-205849
Appeal From Greenville County Letitia H. Verdin, Circuit Court Judge
Unpublished Opinion No. 2014-UP-142 Submitted December 1, 2013 – Filed April 2, 2014
AFFIRMED

Appellate Defenders Dayne C. Phillips and Carmen Ganjehsani, both of Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant Attorney General Julie Kate Keeney, both of Columbia, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v. Spears*, 393 S.C. 466, 480, 713 S.E.2d 324, 331 (Ct. App. 2012) ("[The appellant] failed to contemporaneously object when [two witnesses]

made in-court identifications of the defendants during their direct testimony, despite the fact that both witnesses identified [the appellant] and [his co-defendant] as the gunmen who robbed the Wagon Wheel on several occasions during the course of their testimony. Consequently, *any* issue with respect to the witnesses' in-court identifications is not properly before this court." (emphasis added)); *State v. Smith*, 337 S.C. 27, 32, 522 S.E.2d 598, 600 (1999) ("Generally, a motion in limine seeks a pretrial evidentiary ruling to prevent the disclosure of potentially prejudicial matter to the jury. A pretrial ruling on the admissibility of evidence is preliminary and is subject to change based on developments at trial. A ruling in limine is not final; unless an objection is made at the time the evidence is offered and a final ruling procured, the issue is not preserved for review." (citations omitted)).

AFFIRMED.¹

FEW, C.J., and PIEPER and KONDUROS, JJ., concur.

_

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.