

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Patrick Lowrance, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2012-213300

Appeal From Greenville County
Steven H. John, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2014-UP-439
Heard September 9, 2014 – Filed December 3, 2014

AFFIRMED

Appellate Defender Robert M. Pachak, of Columbia, for
Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant
Attorney General John Benjamin Aplin, of Columbia, for
Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Patrick Lowrance appeals his conviction for possession of a
stolen vehicle, arguing the trial court erred in denying his motion for directed
verdict because the evidence was insufficient to show the vehicle was stolen. We

affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v. Lane*, 406 S.C. 118, 121, 749 S.E.2d 165, 167 (Ct. App. 2013) ("If there is any direct evidence, or if there is substantial circumstantial evidence, which reasonably tends to prove the defendant's guilt, an appellate court must find the trial court properly submitted the case to the jury."); *id.* ("On appeal from the denial of a directed verdict, an appellate court must view the evidence in the light most favorable to the State.").

AFFIRMED.

WILLIAMS, GEATHERS, and McDONALD, JJ., concur.