

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Karacus Korean Freeman, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2016-000800

Appeal From Greenville County
Edward W. Miller, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2017-UP-100
Submitted February 1, 2017 – Filed March 8, 2017

AFFIRMED

Appellate Defender Lara Mary Caudy, of Columbia, for
Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant
Attorney General Mark Reynolds Farthing, both of
Columbia; and Solicitor William Walter Wilkins, III, of
Greenville, all for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following
authorities: *State v. Dunbar*, 356 S.C. 138, 142, 587 S.E.2d 691, 693-94 (2003)
("In order for an issue to be preserved for appellate review, it must have been

raised to and ruled upon by the trial judge. Issues not raised and ruled upon in the trial court will not be considered on appeal."); *State v. Schumpert*, 312 S.C. 502, 507, 435 S.E.2d 859, 862 (1993) ("A ruling in limine is not a final ruling on the admissibility of evidence."); *id.* ("Unless an objection is made at the time the evidence is offered and a final ruling made, the issue is not preserved for review."); *State v. Burton*, 326 S.C. 605, 613, 486 S.E.2d 762, 766 (Ct. App. 1997) (holding a ruling made during an in camera hearing to determine the admissibility of the victim's sister's testimony was not sufficient to preserve the issue when, after the in camera hearing, testimony was given by two other witnesses, a break was taken, and then the victim's sister testified without objection).

AFFIRMED.¹

SHORT, WILLIAMS, and KONDUROS, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.