## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

Carlton E. Cantrell, Appellant,

v.

Aiken County; Aiken County Animal Control Director, Shirley Hardin; Aiken County Animal Control Officer, Bobby Arthurs; and Judge Charles T. Carter, Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2014-001702

Appeal From Aiken County Doyet A. Early, III, Circuit Court Judge

\_\_\_\_\_

Unpublished Opinion No. 2016-UP-397 Submitted April 1, 2016 – Filed August 3, 2016

**AFFIRMED** 

Carlton E. Cantrell, of Aiken, pro se.

William H. Davidson, II and Daniel Clifton Plyler, both of Davidson & Lindemann, PA, of Columbia, for Respondents.

-

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: Rule 220(c), SCACR ("The appellate court may affirm any ruling,

order, decision or judgment upon any ground(s) appearing in the Record on Appeal."); *Atl. Coast Builders & Contractors, LLC v. Lewis*, 398 S.C. 323, 328, 730 S.E.2d 282, 284 (2012) ("Under the two[-]issue rule, where a decision is based on more than one ground, the appellate court will affirm unless the appellant appeals all grounds because the unappealed ground will become law of the case." (quoting *Jones v. Lott*, 387 S.C. 339, 346, 692 S.E.2d 900, 903 (2010))); *id.* at 329, 730 S.E.2d at 285 ("[A]n unappealed ruling, right or wrong, is the law of the case."); *id.* (stating the two-issue rule must "be applied consistently and not selectively").

AFFIRMED.<sup>1</sup>

HUFF, KONDUROS, and GEATHERS, JJ., concur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.