

**COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT
ANNUAL REPORT
For the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006**

COMPLAINTS TO BE PROCESSED

Complaints pending July 1, 2005	49	
Complaints received this fiscal year:		
First Quarter (July 1, 2005 through Sept. 30, 2005).....	77	
Second Quarter (Oct. 1, 2005 through Dec. 31, 2005).....	77	
Third Quarter (Jan. 1, 2006 through Mar. 31, 2006).....	63	
Fourth Quarter (April 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006).....	78	
	===	
PLUS TOTAL complaints received this fiscal year	295.....	+295
		=====
TOTAL -pending and received complaints for fiscal year July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006.....		344

DISPOSITION OF CONCLUDED COMPLAINTS

Dismissed by Disciplinary Counsel after review (no jurisdiction).....	172	
Dismissed by Disciplinary Counsel after preliminary investigation (lack of evidence)	50	
Dismissed by Investigative Panel after preliminary investigation.....	30	
Dismissed by Investigative Panel after full investigation.....	0	
Dismissed by Hearing Panel.....	0	
Dismissed by Supreme Court.....	0	
 (Total Dismissed.....	 252)	
Letter of Caution without finding of misconduct.....	15	
Letter of Caution with finding of minor misconduct.....	12	
Deferred Disciplinary Agreement.....	1	
Admonition (Confidential).....	3	
Admonition (Public but not Published).....	0	
Public Reprimand.....	8	
Suspension.....	3	
Removal from Office.....	1	
Referral to Another Agency.....	0	
Other (Closed but not Dismissed).....	3	
 (Total Not Dismissed.....	 46)	
	===	
LESS TOTAL complaints concluded this fiscal year	298	-298
		=====
TOTAL complaints pending as of June 30, 2006		46

**COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT
ANNUAL REPORT
For the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006**

SOURCES OF COMPLAINTS

Of the 295 new complaints filed with the Commission during the 2005-2006 reporting period, such complaints originated from the following sources:

Litigant.....	237
Relative or friend of litigant or defendant	20
Interested citizen.....	8
Disciplinary counsel	12
Law enforcement officer.....	1
Another judge.....	1
Government Official	4
Attorney.....	8
Anonymous.....	1
Self-Report	3

BREAKDOWN BY TYPES OF JUDGES AGAINST WHOM COMPLAINTS WERE FILED

Of the 295 new complaints filed with the Commission during the 2005-2006 reporting period, such complaints received were against the following categories of judges:

Appellate Court.....	0
Circuit Court	64
Family Court	51
Masters and Referees	7
Probate Court	20
Municipal Court	36
Magistrates	115
Judicial Candidate	2

COMMISSION ACTIVITIES

Meetings of investigative panels this fiscal year	4
Meetings of hearing panels this fiscal year	0
Meetings of full commission this fiscal year	0