## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

Paula Louis Nichols, Respondent,
V.
Paul Richard Hartigan and SCDSS, Defendants,
Of whom Paul Richard Hartigan is a Respondent and SCDSS is the Appellant.
In the interest of minor children under the age of eighteen.
Appellate Case No. 2021-000865
Appeal From Spartanburg County Kimaka Nichols-Graham, Family Court Judge
Unpublished Opinion No. 2023-UP-147 Submitted February 1, 2023 – Filed April 5, 2023 Withdrawn, Substituted, and Refiled August 23, 2023
REVERSED

Robert C. Rhoden, III, of the South Carolina Department of Social Services, of Spartanburg, for Appellant.

Kenneth Philip Shabel, of Kennedy & Brannon, P.A., of Spartanburg, for Respondent.

Andrea Moore, of Spartanburg, as Guardian ad Litem.

Paul Richard Hartigan, of Woodruff, pro se.

**PER CURIAM:** The South Carolina Department of Social Services (DSS) appeals a family court order directing DSS to pay attorney's fees to Paula Louis Nichols (Nichols) in her divorce action. We reverse.

We hold DSS's inclusion in Nichols's divorce action was a continuation of the initial abuse and neglect action brought by DSS because DSS was only named a party to the divorce proceedings due to its failure to schedule a review hearing in the abuse and neglect action. *See Stoney v. Stoney*, 422 S.C. 593, 596, 813 S.E.2d 486, 487 (2018) ("[T]he proper standard of review in family court matters is de novo . . . ."); *S.C. Dep't of Soc. Servs. v. Polite*, 391 S.C. 275, 279, 705 S.E.2d 78, 80 (Ct. App. 2011) ("On appeal from the family court, the appellate court has jurisdiction to find facts in accordance with its own view of the preponderance of the evidence."). Accordingly, we hold the family court erred in awarding attorney's fees to Nichols because section 15-77-300(C) of the South Carolina Code (Supp. 2022) prevents the award of such fees in abuse and neglect actions. *See* § 15-77-300(C) (stating attorney's fees are not recoverable against the State in child abuse and neglect actions).

REVERSED.<sup>1</sup>

KONDUROS and VINSON, JJ., and LOCKEMY, A.J., concur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.