THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

South Carolina Department of Social Services, Respondent,

v.

Jamie Ard and Joseph Paschal, Defendants,

Of whom Joseph Paschal is the Appellant.

In the interest of a minor child under the age of eighteen.

Appellate Case No. 2023-000148

Appeal From Horry County Melissa J. Buckhannon, Family Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2023-UP-211 Submitted April 25, 2023 – Filed May 23, 2023

AFFIRMED

Harry A. Hancock, of Columbia, for Appellant.

William Evan Reynolds, of Kingstree, for Respondent.

Michael Julius Schwartz, of Russell B. Long, PA, of

Myrtle Beach, for the Guardian ad Litem.

PER CURIAM: Joseph Paschal appeals the family court's final order terminating his parental rights to his minor child. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 63-7-2570 (Supp. 2022). Upon a thorough review of the record and the family court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to *Ex parte Cauthen*, 291 S.C. 465, 354 S.E.2d 381 (1987), we find no meritorious issues warrant briefing. Accordingly, we affirm the family court's ruling and relieve Paschal's counsel.

AFFIRMED.¹

KONDUROS and VINSON, JJ., and LOCKEMY, A.J., concur.

¹ We decide this case without argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.