## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,
V.
Donald Dayquan Smith, Appellant.
Appellate Case No. 2021-000579
Appeal From Florence County Michael G. Nettles, Circuit Court Judge Michael S. Holt, Circuit Court Judge  Unpublished Opinion No. 2023-UP-359 Submitted October 1, 2023 – Filed November 8, 2023
APPEAL DISMISSED
Appellate Defender David Alexander, of Columbia, for Appellant.

**PER CURIAM:** Dismissed after review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's motion to be relieved is granted.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Senior

both of Columbia, for Respondent.

Assistant Deputy Attorney General Melody Jane Brown,

## **APPEAL DISMISSED.**<sup>1</sup>

WILLIAMS, C.J., and HEWITT and VERDIN, JJ., concur.

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.