

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Kenneth Jowan Craig, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2013-001690

---

Appeal From Spartanburg County  
Roger L. Couch, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2014-UP-431  
Heard November 6, 2014 – Filed November 26, 2014

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Dennis Gregory Placone, of Nexsen Pruet, LLC, and  
Chief Appellate Defender Robert Michael Dudek, both of  
Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant  
Attorney General Jennifer Ellis Roberts, both of  
Columbia; and Solicitor Barry Joe Barnette, of  
Spartanburg, for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authority: *Perry v. New Hampshire*, 132 S. Ct. 716, 728 (2012) ("The fallibility of eyewitness evidence does not, without the taint of improper state conduct, warrant a due process rule requiring a trial court to screen such evidence for reliability before allowing the jury to assess its creditworthiness."); *id.* at 730 ("[T]he Due Process Clause does not require a preliminary judicial inquiry into the reliability of an eyewitness identification when the identification was not procured under unnecessarily suggestive circumstances arranged by law enforcement.").

**AFFIRMED.**

**FEW, C.J., and GEATHERS and LOCKEMY, JJ., concur.**