

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

South Carolina Department of Social Services,
Respondent,

v.

Sara Amanda Anglin a/k/a Sara Amanda Bailey and
Clayton Thomas Anglin, Defendants,

Of whom Sara Amanda Anglin a/k/a Sara Amanda
Bailey is the Appellant.

In the interest of minors under the age of eighteen.

Appellate Case No. 2021-001507

Appeal From Greenville County
Rochelle Y. Conits, Family Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2022-UP-182
Submitted April 14, 2022 – Filed April 22, 2022

AFFIRMED

Robert Mills Ariail, Jr., of Law Office of R. Mills Ariail,
Jr., of Greenville, for Appellant.

Rebecca Rush Wray, of South Carolina Department of
Social Services, of Greenville, for Respondent.

Robert A. Clark, of Greenville, for the Guardian ad Litem.

PER CURIAM: Sara Amanda Anglin a/k/a Sara Amanda Bailey appeals the family court's final order terminating her parental rights to three of her minor children and ordering a permanent plan of relative placement for two of her minor children. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 63-7-2570 (Supp. 2021). Upon a thorough review of the record and the family court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to *Ex parte Cauthen*, 291 S.C. 465, 354 S.E.2d 381 (1987),¹ we find no meritorious issues warrant briefing. Accordingly, we affirm the family court's ruling and relieve Anglin's counsel.

AFFIRMED.²

GEATHERS and HILL, JJ., and LOCKEMY, A.J., concur.

¹ *See also S.C. Dep't of Soc. Servs. v. Downer*, S.C. Sup. Ct. Order dated Feb. 2, 2005 (expanding the *Cauthen* procedure to situations when "an indigent person appeals from an order imposing other measures short of termination of parental rights").

² We decide this case without argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.